

Organisation

Site Emergency Response



Site Emergency Response: Facility managers and employees do everything they can to prevent emergency situations such as injuries, fires or leaks, nevertheless emergencies are still possible on any kind of facility. Managers and site teams should recognise the importance of being prepared and have the necessary emergency plans and resources in place to manage the potential emergencies so as to reduce harm to people, damage to facilities and the environment. People may react very differently in unexpected situations and when faced with severe injuries. Physical exercises are important so that in real emergencies people can automatically fall back on practised actions and behaviours.

NOTE Refer to EIGA Doc 223, *Emergency Response Planning*, for detailed guidance on developing emergency plans.

Learning more about human factors in emergency response.

If the answer to any of the questions below is 'no', then you need to take action

1. Emergency situations:

Do employees, contractors and visitors understand the relevant site emergency scenarios and what to do in an emergency?

Is enough time and management attention given to emergency planning?

2. Roles and responsibilities:

Do people understand their role in the emergency plan, for example emergency controller, intervention team leader and intervention team?

Are the intervention team aware of the limits of their scope (i.e. tasks not documented, assessed and trained are forbidden)?

Is there provision made for handling an emergency situation out of hours operation and personnel absence?

Is there a designated, recognised and well understood chain of command (hierarchy) to deal with emergency situations?

Is the emergency organisation clearly communicated for example posters, organisation charts?

	Does everyone know how to activate the alarms for different types of emergencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Does everyone know the limits of what they are allowed to do in emergency situations, especially when there are only a few people on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Is it clear who is responsible for liaising with outside bodies (for example, fire and emergency services, local authorities) during and outside normal hours?	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.	Emergency plan	
	Is the emergency plan well-structured and easy to understand, for example using maps, charts and flowcharts?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Do the emergency teams consider the emergency plan as documented practical?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Are risk assessments, previous incidents / accidents considered as inputs to the emergency planning process?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Are people performing key roles engaged in the creation and review of the emergency plan content?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Does the emergency plan help with decisions (as well as actions) to be made during an emergency?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Do checklists or aide memoires assist in dealing with an emergency?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Is the important information needed during an emergency accessible and easy to use?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Is the emergency plan amended as a result of feedback and observation of human behaviour after exercises or actual emergencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Does the MOC procedure consider the need for amendments to the emergency plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.	Training and competence:	
	Is there a process for defining, training and assessing the competence (skills, knowledge and any external qualifications) required for all roles in the emergency plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Does the site induction process adequately inform contractors and visitors of their expected actions in the event of an emergency? For example, by providing:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • videos 	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a leaflet / poster 	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • information and instructions on signing in cards 	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Does the review of regular practice sessions (for example field drills, tabletop, simulation exercises, what-if, etc):	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • recognise and reward safe and confident performance? 	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • identify and address potential omissions and improvements (mistake proofing)? 	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Do ALL people take emergency exercises seriously, follow requirements of the emergency plan and evacuate promptly?	<input type="checkbox"/>

Do people follow the correct route during evacuation and know the assembly point?	<input type="checkbox"/>
Do the intervention team know how to use the appropriate rescue equipment for all scenarios?	<input type="checkbox"/>
Do intervention teams perform regular and realistic exercises of the tasks assigned to them in the emergency plan in order to assess human behaviour in emergency situations?	<input type="checkbox"/>
For example, have team members practised with full breathing apparatus and chemical suits and in plant areas where they may need to be used in an emergency or for escape and attempting to simulate real conditions (low visibility, smoke)?	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are practical exercises (including those with external agencies) risk assessed in advance?	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is competence of people in key roles and intervention teams assessed?	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the training reviewed and, if necessary, updated when there are relevant changes are made in the emergency plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does training for specific roles address how messages are most clearly and unambiguously sent and received during an emergency? (for example, radio, voice, gestures, vocabulary, repeat back, scripts)	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Communication with emergency services	
Has liaison with fire and emergency services been established and maintained to exchange information about site hazards and emergency plans?	<input type="checkbox"/>
Do exercises include practising calls to and communication with the emergency services?	<input type="checkbox"/>

What can we do about it?

Management responsibility

The interest that the manager places on regular and effective exercises, and training will directly influence the confidence that all will perform their roles as expected in an actual emergency situation.

The manager should therefore actively participate in reviews, audits and exercises.

Classically managers will lead during practices or exercises, but experience shows that real emergencies usually occur when there are no managers on site. Exercises should be designed for less experienced personnel to practise emergency roles.

Leadership during the incident is important and managers should concentrate on communication, command and control (potentially from offsite).

Stages in emergency response: what to do better

Human factors to be considered when preparing for

You should assess all your site risks (see EIGA Doc 223, *Emergency Response Planning* and EIGA Doc 60, *Seveso Documents - Guidance on Applicability, Assessment and Legal Documents for Demonstrating Compliance of Industrial Gases Facilities with Seveso Directive(s)*) and understand those where human actions are likely initiators.

The site team should know how emergencies can start and how to detect them.

You should make sure that your team have practised under all foreseeable conditions, for example:

- day or night;

© EIGA grants permission to reproduce this publication provided the Association is acknowledged as the source

emergencies:

- in all weathers;
- with contractors or visitors on site; and
- when personnel including emergency team members are absent.

Be creative in setting a variety of exercise scenarios. It can help to invite external actors with simulated wounds to add interest even in office environments. Occasionally, include external emergency services (and authorities) to improve realism and confidence in communications.

Company plans should include managing the aftermath of a significant event addressing for example counselling of affected persons and support of the family of injured or deceased persons.

Human factors to be considered during and after an emergency:

Below are a list of behaviours that should be actively managed during a real emergency:

- A person discovering an unconscious body can instinctively go to that person's aid particularly if the victim is a friend, endangering themselves for example due to asphyxiation or electricity, and also become a casualty.
- People can react and take actions outside of what is written in an emergency plan, for example attempting to tackle a fire with inadequate equipment or training and thereby endangering themselves and others.
- Some people may not respond to a site evacuation alarm, whether they don't notice or recognise the sound, or they make a conscious decision not to act (believing it to be a false or unimportant training alarm).
- People use normal routes when evacuating from their workplace to the assembly point out of habit and ignore closer and safer exit routes.
- A real emergency will last much longer than a training exercise. People standing outdoors and unprepared are potentially exposed to adverse weather. At some point the emergency controller will need to make alternative arrangements.
- Information about missing or injured individuals shall be carefully managed to avoid and minimise additional stress on colleagues and family.
- Many factors can impair critical communications at the muster point as well as between the site emergency team and emergency services. It may be appropriate to silence alarms and sirens, switch of flashing lights and / or find a quiet location for critical conversations. In major emergencies, the emergency services may bring an incident command / communications vehicle.
- Telephone systems (landline and mobile) can become overwhelmed or deactivated during emergencies. At the same time there can be many incoming enquires which lead to communication overload.
- The presence of broadcasters and journalists as well as social media activity can add significant stress to those at site, those managing the emergency and offsite family members.

After or during a prolonged emergency, it may be necessary to provide practical and emotional support.

Investigation after the emergency should address effectiveness of the emergency response and any human factors improvements as well as the cause of the incident.

Training:

The positive lessons learned from training and exercises should be shared to facilitate improvements at other sites.

Possible scenarios shall be used to train emergency response. Emergency exercises should be planned over a period of time to cover all the possible scenarios from the emergency plan.

The behaviours and performance of people during real emergencies and exercises should be assessed and evaluated to guide improvements in the emergency plan and training of individuals.

Feedback to site personnel should be provided following an emergency including positive aspects and points for improvement.

Useful Reference Information

1. Health and Safety Executive, Inspectors Human Factors Toolkit, www.hse.gov.uk.
2. There is additional information on emergency response provisions and requirements for different processes and substances in EIGA documents, for example:

EIGA Doc 60, *Seveso Documents - Guidance on Applicability, Assessment and Legal Documents for Demonstrating Compliance of Industrial Gases Facilities with Seveso Directive(s)*, www.eiga.eu.

EIGA Doc 223, *Emergency Response Planning*, www.eiga.eu.

DISCLAIMER

All technical publications of EIGA or under EIGA's name, including Codes of practice, Safety procedures and any other technical information contained in such publications were obtained from sources believed to be reliable and are based on technical information and experience currently available from members of EIGA and others at the date of their issuance.

While EIGA recommends reference to or use of its publications by its members, such reference to or use of EIGA's publications by its members or third parties are purely voluntary and not binding. Therefore, EIGA or its members make no guarantee of the results and assume no liability or responsibility in connection with the reference to or use of information or suggestions contained in EIGA's publications.

EIGA has no control whatsoever as regards, performance or non-performance, misinterpretation, proper or improper use of any information or suggestions contained in EIGA's publications by any person or entity (including EIGA members) and EIGA expressly disclaims any liability in connection thereto.

EIGA's publications are subject to periodic review and users are cautioned to obtain the latest edition.